Cabinet



Title of Report:	Reveilues Collection						
	Performance and Write-offs						
Report No:	CAB/SE/14/016						
	[to be completed by Democratic Services]						
Report to and date/s:	Cabinet	2 December 2014					
Portfolio holder:	David Ray Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01359 250912 Email: david.ray@stedsbc.gov.uk						
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk						
Purpose of report:	To consider the current revenue collection performance and to consider writing off outstanding debts, as detailed in the exempt appendices.						
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that the write-off of the amounts detailed in the exempt appendices to Report No: CAB/SE/14/016 be approved, as follows:						
	(1) Exempt App £13,945.63;	endix 1: Council Tax totalling and					
	(2) Exempt App totalling £1	endix 2: Business Rates 7,736.82.					
	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?						
Key Decision:	=	on and, ir so, under wnich					
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	=	sion - □					
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those	definition? Yes, it is a Key Deci No, it is not a Key Deci Although published	sion - □ Decision - ⊠ on the Decisions Plan as a Key amounts recommended to be					
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.) The key decision made	definition? Yes, it is a Key Deci No, it is not a Key D Although published Decision, given the written off, this is not a Key D	sion - □ Decision - ⊠ on the Decisions Plan as a Key amounts recommended to be					

Consultation:		Leadership Team and the Portfolio Holder for						
			Resources and Performance have been					
All constitutions and the	- (-) -		consulted with on the proposed write-offs.					
Alternative option	n(s): See paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2							
Implications:	i - l i ma miliani	tions?	Vaa 🖂	No 🗆				
Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details		Yes ⊠ No □ • See paragraphs 3.1 to 3.3						
Are there any staffing implications?		Yes □ No ⊠						
If yes, please give details			. ●					
Are there any ICT implications? If			Yes □ No ⊠					
yes, please give details Are there any legal and/or policy			• Yes ⊠ No □					
implications? If yes, please give details			 The recovery procedures followed have been previously agreed; 					
actano				writing off uncollectable debt				
			allows staff to focus recovery					
			action on debt which is					
			recoverable.					
Are there any equality implications?			Yes ⊠ No □					
If yes, please give details			 The application of predetermined 					
			recovery procedures ensures that					
			everybody is treated consistently.					
			F-:I.		ak a dalak :aka			
					ct any debt impacts			
			on either the levels of service provision or the levels of charges.					
		All available remedies are used to						
		recover the debt before write off is						
		considered.						
		The provision of services by the						
			Council applies to everyone in the					
			area.					
Risk/opportunity assessment:			(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)					
This has been identified as a low risk as there are resources allocated to meet								
items as detailed.								
Risk area	Inherent le	vel of	Controls	5	Residual risk (after			
	risk (before				controls)			
Debts are written off	controls) Medium		Extensive	racovary	Low			
which could have	Mediaiii		procedure		LOW			
been collected.				nsure that				
			all possibl mechanis					
			exhausted					
			debt is written off.					
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards						
Background papers:		None.						
Documents attached:			Exemp	t Appendi	x 1: Council Tax			
			Exempt Appendix 2: NNDR					

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 The Revenues Section collects outstanding debts in accordance with either statutory guidelines or Council agreed procedures.
- 1.2 When all these procedures have been exhausted the outstanding debt is written off using the delegated authority of the Head of Resources and Performance for debts up to £2,499.99 or by Cabinet for debts over £2,500.00.
- 1.3 It is best practice to monitor the recovery procedures for outstanding debts regularly and, when appropriate, write off irrecoverable debts.
- 1.4 Provision for irrecoverable debts is included both in the Collection Fund and the General Fund and writing off debts that are known to be irrecoverable ensures that staff are focussed on achieving good collection levels in respect of the recoverable debt.

2. Alternative options

- 2.1 The Council has appointed a firm of bailiffs to assist in the collection of business rates and Council Tax and also has on line tracing facilities. It is not considered appropriate to pass the debts on to another agency.
- It should be noted that in the event that a written-off debt become recoverable, the amount is written back on, and enforcement procedures are re-established. This might happen, for example, if someone has gone away with no trace, and then they are unexpectedly 'found' again, through whatever route.

3. Financial implications and collection performance

- 3.1 Provision is made in the accounts for non recovery but the total amounts to be written off are as follows with full details shown in Exempt Appendices 1 and 2.
- 3.2 As at 31 October 2014, the total National Non Domestic Rates (NNDR) billed by Anglia Revenues Partnership on behalf of St Edmundsbury Borough Council (as the billing Authority) is nearly £47.3 million per annum. The collection rate as at 31 October 2014 was 66.67% against a profile of 66.75%.
- 3.3 As at 31 October 2014, the total Council Tax billed by Anglia Revenues Partnership on behalf of St Edmundsbury Borough Council (includes the County, Police and Parish precept elements) is just under £53.8 million per annum. The collection rate as at 31 October was 67.87% against a profiled target of 68.31%.